



From *The Note* over at Mickey Mouse dot com, comes this collection of observations about Elizabeth Edwards taking Hillary Clinton to task for not being fem enough.Â Jeez, what's next?

...Elizabeth Edwards' sharp tongue is again dominating the 2008 race — and that may actually be a good thing for the candidate.

In a jab that will be the subject of a good dissertation on feminism some day, Elizabeth Edwards posited that her husband — not Sen. Hillary Rodham Clinton, D-N.Y. — is the best candidate for female voters. "Sometimes you feel you have to behave as a man and not talk about women's issues," she said when discussing Clinton in [an interview with Salon.com](#). "I'm not convinced she'd be as good an advocate for women."

Straight-talking spousal comments are often massively distracting to campaigns. (Imagine if Teresa Heinz Kerry had taken a swipe at Laura Bush, or if President Clinton were to argue that Sen. Barack Obama, D-Ill., isn't man enough for the job.) But whether it's her battle with cancer, her real-mom looks, or her general likeability, there looks to be little downside for Edwards in having his wife mix it up.

[The Note: Elizabeth Edwards Dukes it Out on Her Husband's Behalf](#)